



American RTL Action
 1535 Grant Street #303
 Denver, CO 80203
 1-888-888-ARTL(2785)

American RTL Action: Presidential Candidate Scorecard

	Rejects Human Life Amendments throughout career	\$100s of millions of tax dollars given to abortionists	Funds research killing tiniest boys & girls	Promises to appoint pro-choice judges	Rejects right to life of the unborn	Funds surgical abortion	Pro-life?
DEM: Obama/Biden	☠	☠	☠	☠	☠	☠	No
GOP: McCain/Palin	☠	☠	☠	☠	☠	☠	No
AIP: Keyes/Rohrbough							Yes

McCain rejects the Right To Life of the unborn: Just as Germany had no right to determine that innocent Jews could be killed, neither do U.S. states have the right to legislate that innocent babies can be killed. Yet John McCain rejects that an unborn child has the right to life: by saying that states, like California and New York, can decide if they will kill children; by funding the surgical abortion of an unwanted child if his father is a rapist; by his career-long refusal to vote for Human Life Amendments; by funding the killing of the tiniest boys and girls in embryonic research; by promising to nominate justices, like Antonin Scalia, who promote the "states' right" to kill unborn children.

McCain gives \$100s of millions to abortionists: Funding for Planned Parenthood and other abortionists has continued to soar with John McCain's support even when George W. Bush entered the White House, and even during Republican control of the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives and the U.S. Supreme Court. Funding to Planned Parenthood has increased by tens of millions of dollars in the Bush years. Further, John McCain's consistent Health and Human Services 'yes' votes have funded Title X, Title XIX, and through other avenues, has **subsidized Planned Parenthood and pays for chemical abortifacients like the Morning After Pill, RU-486, surgical abortions for children whose fathers are rapists, and generally subsidizing the immorality promoted by the abortion industry.**

McCain kills the tiniest living kids for research: As soon as Sarah Palin was selected, her name was used in a campaign radio ad to whitewash and further John McCain's role in embryonic stem cell funding, that is, the fatal dissecting and harvesting of the bodies of the tiniest boys and girls for grisly research on embryos.

McCain rejects Human Life Amendments: John McCain refuses to endorse Colorado's historic Personhood Amendment 48; he refuses to sign on to the U.S. Senate S.3111 Life at Conception Act which has the unprecedented support of eleven U.S. Senators; in his Saturday night conversion he told Rick Warren that human rights "begin at conception" but continues to fund the killing of these kids in research and advocates that states can kill these children. Barack Obama denies that life begins at conception, probably lying to himself. But John McCain is more culpable and represents an even more wicked position, that yes, I acknowledge that human life and rights begin at conception, but I support killing those kids.

Republicans for Choice likes McCain: When McCain funds abortions, 'pro-choice' Republicans take heart. CNS News reported on February 6, 2008: "The *Republicans for Choice Political Action Committee* has endorsed John McCain (R-Ariz.), saying he is the best candidate now that former NYC Mayor Rudy Giuliani is out of the presidential race. ... Republicans for Choice founder and Chairwoman Ann E.W. Stone told Cybercast News Service that McCain's pro-life position wasn't an issue... 'He's shown his willingness to reach across the party.'"

McCain funds 300,000 abortions: Said noted pro-life researcher Darrell Birkey, "John McCain is the Republican candidate who funds the surgical abortion of 300,000 children. In one example out of decades of his funding abortionists, he voted to allocate monies on Oct. 27, 2005 for tax-funded abortion if the baby is unwanted because his father is a criminal, that is, a rapist." The official Senate.gov site documents the McCain [Yea vote](#) on the Health and Human Services Appropriations Public Law 109-149 and the Government Printing Office documents that McCain's vote authorized funding for surgical abortion to kill an unborn child whose father is a criminal as [the law states](#), SEC. 507. (a) "funds are appropriated in this Act" that includes coverage of abortion, SEC. 508. (a) (1) "if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest." [ARTLaction.com links to source documents.]

McCain and Palin refuse to enforce 14th Amendment: By promoting the immoral claim that killing unborn children is a states' rights issue, both Republican candidates would require the federal government to violate the U.S. Constitution and tolerate child killing, rejecting the [14th](#) Amendment: "...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." The U.S. Constitution does not define groups of human beings as people, yet Jews, Blacks, and unborn children are all people and 'conservatives' like Palin and McCain should understand and promote this simple truth. In addition to God's enduring command, "Do not murder," even the Constitution requires states to uphold equal protection to all persons. So McCain and Palin are guilty of willful violation of God's law and man's law in the most egregious matter, permitting the intentional killing of innocent children.

Does McCain really even oppose Roe? A campaign staffer recently misrepresented John McCain's comment to the San Francisco Chronicle in 1999 that, "I'd love to see a point where [Roe v. Wade] is irrelevant, and could be repealed because abortion is no longer necessary. But certainly in the short term, or even the long term, I would not support repeal of Roe v. Wade, which would then force X number of women in America to [undergo] illegal and dangerous operations." According to anti-Christian journalist Matt Welch, "Explained McCain campaign staffer and social conservative Patrick Hynes on his personal blog in December 2006: 'Okay, so what of that quote from 1999? The one about not supporting repeal [of] Roe v. Wade? It was a mistake, plain and simple. And it was immediately clarified.' ... In fact, the comment wasn't 'immediately clarified' at all. Several days later, when the Washington Post asked the campaign whether McCain had misspoken, they said no. But a few days before the *Post* story ran, McCain said on CNN... that he rejected a 'litmus' test for Supreme Court nominees... Meanwhile, however, McCain was actively seeking to rewrite the national Republican platform... McCain said in his famous Virginia Beach speech in February 2000 [that politicians should not be] pandering to... the agents of intolerance [such as] Pat Robertson or Jerry Falwell... McCain gives the voting public what it wants... a man onto whom people are forever projecting their own ideological fantasies."

Cindy McCain said in September that her husband **John SUPPORTS Roe v. Wade**. Pro-choice Republicans like the McCains have been trained to say they are pro-life while even supporting abortion rights. Consider this [CBS News](#) transcript:

Cindy McCain: I'm pro-life. I'm on the record as being pro-life, like my husband...

Katie Couric: And do you believe Roe v. Wade should be overturned?

McCain: No. no.

Couric: No. Why not? Your husband does.

McCain: No. I don't think he does.

Couric: He believes it should be overturned. That's what he told me, and that it should go to the states.

McCain: Well, in that respect. Yes, yeah, I do. I understand what you're saying now. It's a states issue.

Couric: So, you believe it should be overturned or shouldn't be overturned.

McCain: I believe it's a states issue. That I do believe.

[This intense evil and political cover for pro-choice Republicans was pioneered by Christian libertarians including [Ron Paul](#) who, like John McCain, is pro-choice state-by-state, as though killing a fetus were a zoning issue. States prosecute, but have no authority to legalize, murder.]

Couric: After the interview we contacted the McCain campaign to clarify Cindy McCain's position on abortion. They told us that, like Laura Bush, Mrs. McCain does not advocate overturning Roe v. Wade, which guarantees the legal right to an abortion.

'Pro-life' means nothing today: in McCain's Republican circles, 'pro-lifers' actually support Roe v. Wade. They support killing unborn children and they lie to Christians to get money and votes to gain power. So Cindy McCain didn't get the memo and wasn't aware of the campaign rhetoric that her husband now wants to overturn Roe v. Wade.

But Doesn't Nat'l Right To Life say McCain opposes funding? Yes, NRTL says McCain "Voted consistently against federal funding of abortion." They arrive at this by ignoring his votes that fund surgical abortion, chemical abortion, that kill the tiniest children for research, and that give hundreds of millions to Planned Parenthood. A National RTL 100% rating is a near-certain indicator that a Republican is pro-choice with exceptions.

McCain is not even a defender of marriage: Of all things! In the Senate recently John McCain voted against a constitutional amendment to protect marriage as between one man and one woman. He won't protect marriage, won't protect the unborn, and in a McCain administration, he'd allow every state to abort children indiscriminately. Republicans and Democrats together are destroying America while many Christians ignore that out of lust for the rush of political competition. As long as Christians are willing to support unrepentant, anti-marriage, killers of unborn children, America will continue our moral freefall. So American Right To Life Action reminds believers: when good people support those who kill unborn children, the abortionist cannot lose.

McCain promises pro-choice judges: Christians have been told to vote for McCain because of the judges he will nominate. But again on Oct. 15, 2008 in the third presidential debate McCain said he has no "litmus test" meaning he is willing to nominate pro-choice judges. And worse, he misleads people by referring to pro-choice judges as though they were pro-life. The un-cashed \$10,000 check to Nat'l Right To Life indicates that there are NO JUSTICES on the Supreme Court who have ever held that the unborn child has a right to life. Not one. Yet John McCain promises to nominate more of the same. Consider this widely circulated press release that appeared online including at *Yahoo! News*, the *Denver Post*, *Covenant News* and *USA Today*.

American Right To Life is offering \$10,000 to National RTL if they can name a single justice on the current U.S. Supreme Court who has ever acknowledged that the unborn child has a right to life. Notre Dame Law School's Dr. Charles Rice recently said, "Every justice now on the court accepts the Roe holding that the unborn child is a non-person... The situation remains as described by Justice John Paul Stevens in *Planned Parenthood v. Casey*." For Stevens had written that "the Court... rejected, the argument 'that the fetus is a "person" '. ... there was no dissent..." Supposed 'pro-life' Justice Clarence Thomas wrote in his Stenberg dissent that "a State may permit abortion..." And Antonin Scalia, the best that Nat'l RTL can claim after 30 years of their failed political strategy, who for decades has opposed the right to life of the unborn, wrote in *Casey*, "The states may, if they wish, permit abortion-on-demand..." To make their strategy appear successful, Nat'l RTL misleads the pro-life movement into believing that abortion accomplices like Samuel Alito, John Roberts, Thomas and Scalia are pro-life. In 2002 Scalia said, "I will... strike down a law that is the opposite of *Roe v. Wade*." And on *60 Minutes* in 2008 Scalia asked college students, you want, "the right to abortion? No problem... Create it the way most rights are created in a democratic society. Pass a law." And then Scalia even joked (see the video at [ARTLaction.com](#)) about the actual killing of the child by using the phrase, "split the baby," to much laughter, from the students, and from himself.

Particulars regarding how to view John McCain's candidacy:

1. A person who denies the right to life to the innocent and advocates, defends, and funds the intentional killing of an innocent person is guilty of murder.
2. John McCain is such a mass murderer, responsible for the deaths of hundreds of thousands of children.
3. Christians should not support mass murderers, even if they are afraid of other mass murderers.
4. Our standard of behavior should be based upon trusting and obeying God, not fearing some alternative evil.

If our justification for supporting some evil is fear of a greater perceived evil, then there is no depth of depravity and atrocity we will not support, as long as we can fear some supposed darker alternative.

Question: If Barack runs in four years against some Republican that you judge more evil than Obama, will you support Obama?

By the standard of fear, if a pro-lifer judged the Republican in four years more evil than Barack, he would probably support Obama.

Don't operate out of fear of man, but make decisions based: upon the fear of God; upon His enduring command *Do not murder*, and by honoring and obeying Him above all else. Only within that context can you take valid political action.

Please donate to American RTL Action so we can publicize McCain's pro-abortion stance.

Call 1-888-888-ARTL or give [online](#).